In the refinements of the 250 and 268 °C data, the occupancy of the alternate oxygen sites was a free parameter, and at 268 °C it seems clear that there is partial occupancy of these sites. Above the 275 °C transition, to refine the 290 °C data we assumed equal occupancy of the 'e' sites and the alternative sites and allocated the two sets of oxygen atoms separate positions and thermal parameters. The low R value, and the agreement between the two sets of oxygen position parameters, support this hypothesis of the structure.

The mean square vibration amplitude of Na, N and O atoms, calculated as  $\frac{1}{3}(u_{11}+u_{22}+u_{33})$ , is plotted against temperature in Fig. 1, together with the results of CHP. The spectacular increase in the O vibrations, reported by CHP, seems to be spurious. The 268° data gave anomalously low values for the vibration amplitudes and we suspect that this was caused by fairly intense diffuse scattering resulting in anomalous absorption.

If the NO<sub>3</sub> group is rigid, then  $u_{11}$  for N and O should be the same. Table 1 shows that this is indeed so, within the quoted error limits, up to 250°C. The rotational amplitude of the NO<sub>3</sub> group about the c axis (the 3-axis of our Cartesian system) is not unusually large (11.7° at 250°C).

The bond length from the conventional refinements decreases with temperature due to the well-known apparent shortening due to arc motion. Refinements incorporating a proper formulation of this effect gave a more-or-less constant value of the N–O distance at 1.256 (1) Å. The agreement with CHP on this point is excellent.

## Analysis of X-ray data

Taking the thermal and positional parameters at room temperature from this experiment, and the X-ray data of Inkinen and CHP (put on a common scale by separate refinements), we prepared difference Fouriers.

The X-ray form factors of  $Na^+N^{2+}O_3^-$  and of  $Na^+N^{5+}O_3^{2-}$  were calculated after Clementi (1965). These difference Fouriers appeared, however, to show no systematic features which could be interpreted as clear information on the ionic state or bonding within the NO<sub>3</sub> group. We concluded that more accurate X-ray data would be needed to explore this question.

#### References

- CHERIN, P., HAMILTON, W. C. & POST, B. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 455.
- CLEMENTI, E. (1965). *IBM J. Res. Dev.* 9, 2, plus Supplementary Tables of Atomic Functions.
- INKINEN, O. (1960). Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Series A, 55, 1. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1965). Vol. I. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- PRYOR, A. W., ELLIS, P. J. & DULLOW, R. J. (1968). J. Appl. Cryst. 1, 272.
- PRYOR, A. W. & SANGER, P. L. (1970). Acta Cryst. A 26, 543.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558.

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 2702

# The Crystal Structure of *p*-Nitrophenyl Acetate

### BY R. GUTTORMSON AND B. E. ROBERTSON

Division of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Saskatchewan, Regina, Canada

# (Received 2 December 1971 and in revised form 30 March 1972)

The crystal structure of *p*-nitrophenyl acetate,  $C_8H_7NO_4$ , is monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ ,  $a=14\cdot115$  (10),  $b=7\cdot437$  (9),  $c=16\cdot158$  (10) Å,  $\beta=90\cdot83$  (5)°, Z=8, T=23°C,  $D_x=1\cdot42$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $D_m=1\cdot37$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>. The intensities of 2234 independent reflexions were measured with a four-circle diffractometer. The structure was solved using the symbolic addition method and refined by full-matrix least-squares analysis to a weighted least-squares residue of 0.048. In both of the two independent molecules, the plane of the acyl group is rotated approximately 65° from the plane of the phenyl ring and both molecules have the *trans* conformation. The C-NO<sub>2</sub> bond lengths are similar to those in related compounds with electron donating substituents.

### Introduction

*p*-Nitrophenyl acetate has been used extensively in studies of alkaline hydrolysis and enzyme catalysed hydrolysis (see *e.g.* Boyer, Lardy & Myrback, 1960) because of the ease of estimation of nitrophenol by spectrophotometry and the relative simplicity of the molecule.

Simple steric arguments suggest that either the acyl group must be rotated from the plane of the phenyl ring or the molecule must adopt the *cis* conformation rather than the more stable *trans* conformation. This study was undertaken to determine the conformation of the molecule and provide information on the bonding scheme in the acyl linkage.

## Experimental

Samples were prepared from *p*-nitrophenol by Chattaway's method (Chattaway, 1931) and recrystallized from ethanol. A sample of approximate dimensions  $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.5$  mm was selected for data collection and aligned on a Picker card-fed diffractometer. The Laue symmetry and systematic extinctions gave the space group  $P2_1/c$ .

The integrated intensities of the 2234 independent reflexions between 0 and 45° in  $2\theta$  were collected with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation using a graphite monochromator. The  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  scan mode was used with a scan rate of 2.0° min<sup>-1</sup> over a base width of 2.4°. The background on each side was counted for 10 sec.

In order to obtain accurate lattice constants, 31 reflexions with good intensities and  $2\theta$  values between 37 and 45° were chosen from the collected data. A second crystal was mounted on the diffractometer and the 31 reflexions were centred. The least-squares values of the lattice constants were calculated with the program *PICK2*, written by W. C. Hamilton. The estimated errors given in brackets with the lattice constants are three times the estimated standard deviations determined from the least-squares analysis. Comparison of lattice constants determined from separate sets of reflexions from one crystal and from separate crystals suggest that this is a reasonable estimate of real error.

### Crystal data

The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but no absorption corrections were applied. A Wilson statistical calculation was used to normalize the data to the contents of one unit cell and to obtain an overall temperature factor of 3.75 Å<sup>2</sup>. Individual reflexions were labelled as weak if both  $Lp|F_o| < 7.0$ and  $|F_o| < 2\sigma$  obtained. The estimated standard deviation,  $\sigma$ , used here is calculated as  $\sigma' + 0.5 + 0.005 |F_o|$ where  $\sigma'$  is calculated from the counting statistics of the integrated intensity and the background counts. The number of reflexions which survived as reliable data was 1396. The remaining data were not used for the structure solution or the initial stages of refinement but were retained and used in the final cycles of leastsquares refinement. The standard deviation of each weak reflexion was replaced by  $|F_a|$  for that reflexion or 10.0, whichever was greater. The scattering factors of the carbon, oxygen and nitrogen atoms were calculated from the series coefficients given by Cromer & Mann (1968). Those for the hydrogen atoms were calculated from the coefficients given by Moore (1963) weighted for optimum accuracy at low scattering angles.

## Determination and refinement of the structure

The 411 reflexions with |E| > 1.30 were selected and used in the multiple-solution, logical symbolic addition method programs of Germain & Woolfson (1968). An *E* map calculated from the solution with the highest figure of merit showed all the non-hydrogen atoms of both molecules in the asymmetric unit.

The positions and isotropic temperature factors of these atoms were refined by full-matrix least-squares analysis using a modified version of the program *ORFLS* (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962). Only 720 reliable reflexions with  $2\theta$  values between 11 and  $37^{\circ}$  were used at this point. After eight cycles of least squares, an  $R_w$  value of 0.121 was reached and anisotropic temperature factors were introduced. The agreement index  $R_w$  used here is calculated as

$$R_w = \left[ \sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w |F_o|^2 \right]^{1/2} \text{ with } w = \sigma^{-2}.$$

Because of computer core size limitation, the two molecules were then refined separately on alternate cycles for a total of four cycles, reducing the  $R_w$  value to 0.084. A difference Fourier map calculated at this point revealed eight of the 14 hydrogen atoms and another map after four more cycles of least-squares gave the locations of the remaining six hydrogen atoms. The isotropic temperature factors of the phenyl hydrogen atoms were set at 5.0 Å<sup>2</sup> and those of the methyl group were set at 7.0 Å<sup>2</sup>. All the data were used in the final 14 cycles of least squares. The estimated standard deviations,  $\sigma$ , used in the  $\sigma^{-2}$  weighting scheme, of the reliable reflexions were replaced by the series

$$\sigma = 2.028 - 0.926 |F_o| + 0.00134 |F_o|^2$$
.

These coefficients were determined by fitting to a curve of  $\Delta = ||F_o| - |F_c||$  plotted against  $\langle |F_o| \rangle$ . The method assumes that  $F_c$  is nearer to the true structure factor than  $F_{\sigma}$  and thus  $\langle \Delta \rangle$  is a reasonable estimate for  $\sigma$ . The weak reflexions were not included in the calculation of the coefficients for  $\sigma$  and the original estimated standard deviations were used in the refinement because the large uncertainties in these reflexions require higher order terms in the expression for  $\sigma$ . The final  $R_{w}$  value for all data was 0.049 and for the reliable data alone the final  $R_w$  value was 0.048. The conventional R value for reliable data was 0.082, and 0.165 if all the data was included. The standard deviations of the least-square variables were slightly lower when the large body of weak data were included in the refinement. An unusually large number of reflexions appear to be below the background count rate, reflecting the large magnification of truncation errors associated with short background count times. This systematic error may occur despite the fact that one-half dekacount is added to each observed number of counts, if

# Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors for p-nitrophenyl acetate $(\times 10)$

The reflexions marked with an asterisk were considered as being weak.

1401 40	IFOI FC	1801 86	1701 FC	INGI PG	1F01 FC	1401 44	IFOL FC	1801 FC	1 FOI PC	2401 PC	1901 PC
ананананан таралар алаан таралар тарала 1980 - Серектор С. С. 1990 - С. 1980 - С. 1980 - С. 1990 - С. 1 1980 - С. 1980 - С. 1980 - С. 1990 - С. 19 2000 - С. 1990 - С. 1 2000 - С. 1990 - С. 1 2000 - С. 1990 - С	ананананананананананананананананананан		ана соло и полновати и по Ката полновати и полновати и Ката со вати и полновати и		андаагаанаанаанаанаанаанаанаанаанаанаанаана		er, sour state in the state of the state o			······································	

Table 2. Positional and thermal parameters

The  $U_{ij}$  are given in Å<sup>2</sup> and are multiplied by 10<sup>3</sup>. The temperature-factor expression is exp  $[-2\pi^2(U_{11}a^{*2}h^2 + ... 2U_{12}a^*b^*hk...)]$ . The numbers in parentheses are estimated errors.

	x/a	y/b	z/c	$U_{11}$	$U_{22}$	$U_{33}$	$U_{12}$	$U_{13}$	$U_{23}$
C(1)	0.3925 (9)	0.1176 (17)	0.7097 (8)	49 (9)	42 (9)	58 (9)	1 (6)	9 (6)	-3 (6)
C(2)	0.3452(10)	0.2037(20)	0.6457 (9)	43 (9)	73 (12)	66 (9)	10 (9)	3 (9)	13 (9)
C(3)	0.3933 (10)	0.2533 (21)	0.5766 (9)	54 (9)	84 (12)	58 (9)	6 (9)	3 (9)	20 (9)
C(4)	0.4872 (9)	0.2140 (17)	0.5715 (8)	42 (9)	53 (9)	51 (9)	-2(9)	3 (6)	-3(6)
C(5)	0.5342(11)	0.1277 (21)	0.6361 (10)	50 (12)	76 (12)	87 (12)	18 (9)	1 (9)	-6(6)
C(6)	0.4870 (11)	0.0807 (19)	0.7042 (10)	60 (12)	57 (9)	69 (9)	8 (9)	-5(9)	13 (6)
C(7)	0.2392 (15)	0.0694 (29)	0.8880 (11)	92 (12)	77 (15)	52 (9)	-4(12)	3 (9)	14 (12)
C(8)	0.2973 (9)	0.1698 (24)	0.8262 (8)	45 (9)	65 (9)	39 (9)	1 (12)	-9(6)	-10(9)
N	0.5377 (9)	0.2640 (16)	0.4972 (8)	62 (9)	60 (9)	77 (9)	-11(12)	11 (9)	-5(9)
O(1)	0.6218 (7)	0.2348 (16)	0.4937 (6)	58 (6)	139 (12)	110 (9)	5 (12)	29 (6)	18 (9)
O(2)	0.4926 (7)	0.3325 (16)	0.4391 (6)	88 (9)	115 (9)	61 (6)	-10 (6)	2 (6)	13 (6)
O(3)	0.3447 (6)	0.0516 (12)	0.7778 (6)	72 (6)	48 (6)	60 (6)	1 (6)	3 (6)	4 (6)
O(4)	0.3036 (6)	0.3291 (14)	0.8200 (6)	75 (6)	48 (6)	68 (6)	-4 (6)	-2 (6)	-5 (6)
C(1')	0.8807 (8)	0.1720 (17)	0.4920 (8)	47 (9)	33 (6)	56 (9)	-1 (6)	4 (6)	-4 (6)
C(2')	0.9675 (9)	0.2510 (17)	0.5002 (9)	56 (9)	56 (9)	52 (9)	-12 (9)	16 (6)	17 (9)
C(3')	1.0191 (9)	0.2384 (18)	0.5737 (8)	49 (9)	50 (9)	56 (9)	-1 (9)	-7(6)	3 (9)
C(4')	0.9807 (8)	0.1433 (18)	0.6366 (7)	44 (9)	55 (9)	39 (9)	3 (6)	-1 (6)	7 (6)
C(5')	0.8935 (9)	0.0616 (20)	0.6295 (9)	48 (9)	66 (9)	56 (9)	-4 (9)	10 (9)	13 (6)
C(6')	0.8422 (9)	0.0759 (18)	0.5558 (8)	43 (9)	47 (9)	63 (9)	-10 (9)	-1 (6)	-3 (6)
C(7')	0.7381 (19)	0.3117 (37)	0.3123 (12)	93 (15)	92 (18)	51 (12)	-10 (15)	-21 (12)	1 (12)
C(8')	0.7960 (8)	0.3339 (22)	0.3896 (8)	48 (9)	53 (9)	48 (9)	-3 (9)	10 (6)	-2 (9)
N′	1.0331 (10)	0.1319 (17)	0.7153 (8)	73 (9)	79 (9)	59 (9)	-10 (9)	-6 (9)	15 (6)
O(1')	0.9929 (8)	0.0719 (18)	0.7743 (7)	119 (9)	189 (15)	59 (9)	- 53 (9)	-23 (6)	41 (6)
O(2')	1.1148 (7)	0.1820 (16)	0.7164 (5)	61 (6)	132 (9)	92 (9)	-12 (9)	-20 (6)	3 (6)
O(3')	0.8304 (6)	0.1732 (12)	0.4165 (5)	81 (6)	45 (6)	51 (6)	4 (6)	-21 (6)	-6 (6)
O(4')	0.8070 (6)	0.4696 (12)	0.4268(5)	63 (6)	46 (6)	64 (6)	-1 (6)	-9 (6)	0 (6)

### Table 2 (cont.)

	x/a	y/b	z/c	B
H(2)	0.276 (10)	0.235 (17)	0.655 (7)	5.0
H(3)	0.364 (9)	0.317 (19)	0.530 (8)	5.0
H(5)	0.596 (10)	0.110 (19)	0.626 (8)	5.0
H(6)	0.519 (9)	0.019 (19)	0.752(9)	5.0
H(7,1)	0.270(11)	-0.025(24)	0.908 (10)	7.0
H(7,2)	0.217(10)	0.153 (25)	0.938 (9)	7.0
H(7,3)	0.181 (11)	0.019 (23)	0.860 (9)	7.0
H(2')	0.996 (9)	0.310 (18)	0.453 (7)	5.0
H(3')	1.083 (9)	0.292 (19)	0.581 (8)	5.0
H(5')	0.867 (9)	0.004 (19)	0.672 (8)	5.0
H(6')	0.781 (9)	0.009 (18)	0.549 (7)	5.0
H(7',1)	0.765 (14)	0.253 (26)	0.286 (12)	7.0
H(7',2)	0.729 (11)	0.433 (23)	0.282(10)	7.0
H(7′,3)	0.672 (12)	0.277 (21)	0.330 (8)	<b>7</b> ∙0

the number of individual counts for each background measurement averages near a multiple of ten.

### **Results and discussion**

The observed and calculated structure factors of all reflexions are given in Table 1. The final positional and thermal parameters are given in Table 2. The estimated errors given here are three times the estimated standard deviations calculated from the diagonal components of the inverse least-squares matrix.

The atoms making up the two molecules are numbered with the same sequence and those belonging to the second molecule are marked with a prime. The relevant interatomic distances and angles are given in Fig. 1 with the labelling sequence of the atoms. The estimated errors in the bond lengths are based only on the errors given in Table 2. Inclusion of the errors associated with the lattice constants would increase these values by *ca*. 0.001 Å. Both molecules have the *trans* configuration but the plane of the acyl group is rotated from that of the phenyl ring by 64.2 and  $65.9^{\circ}$ in the first and second molecule. The parameters describing the least-squares planes fitted to the nitro groups, the acyl groups and the phenyl rings are given in Table 3 with the dihedral angles between these planes.

The bonds N–O(1), N–O(2), C(8)–O(4) and C(8)–C(7) are terminal bonds and are affected by thermal motion. Table 4 shows corrections to these bond lengths made on the assumption that they undergo 'riding motion', as suggested by Busing & Levy (1964). The thermal corrections bring the (N–O) bonds within the accepted limits for NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> groups. The C=O bond lengths correspond to those of carbonyl bonds in conjugated systems and the methyl bond is slightly shortened from the expected single-bond value. The proper model for the basis of thermal corrections to the O(3)–C(8) bond is not clear, but a 'riding motion' correction gives 1.366 and 1.373 Å for the first and second molecules respectively.

These bond lengths indicate the presence of some delocalization in the acyl group. This delocalization inhibits the double-bonded character of the bond from the O(3) atom to the phenyl ring with the result that the C(1)–O(3) bond lengths of 1.388 and 1.402 Å in the two molecules of *p*-nitrophenyl acetate are markedly longer than the phenyl carbon to oxygen bond

### Table 3. Least-squares planes

Coordinates refer to the directions of the  $a,b,c^*$  axes

Pla	ane			
1.	-0.2126x - 0.8843y - 0.4157z	=	-6.6835	(Aromatic ring, molecule 1)
2.	-0.7619x - 0.0037y - 0.6476z	=	-11.7054	(Acyl group, molecule 1)
3.	-0.1834x - 0.9100y - 0.3716z	=	-6.1471	(Nitro group, molecule 1)
4.	0.4415x - 0.8323y - 0.3351z	=	1.7114	(Aromatic ring, molecule 2)
5.	0.8315x + 0.1641y - 0.5307z	=	6.3106	(Acyl group, molecule 2)
6.	0.3014x - 0.9209y - 0.2473z	=	0.5832	(Nitro group, molecule 2)

Table 3 (cont.) Perpendicular distances. (Atoms defining the plane have their distances marked with an asterick)

	distances marked w	in an asien	54.)
		Plane	
	1	2	3
<b>C</b> (1)	-0.0014*	-0.1824	-0.1017
$\hat{\mathbf{C}(2)}$	-0.0033*		-0.0230
Ca	0.0062*		0.0254
C(4)	-0.0044*	-0.5827	-0.0270
C(5)	-0.0004*	0.0027	-0.1041
Cín	0.0033*		-0.1383
C(7)	0 0055	0.0017*	0 1505
Cit		-0.0061*	
N N	- 0.0190	0 0001	0.0000*
$\frac{1}{2}$	0.0182		0.0000*
O(1)	-0.0912		0.0000
O(3)	-0.1246	0.0019*	0.0000
O(3)	-01240	0.0075*	
$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{y})$	0.0576	0.0023	
L(2)	0.0227		
$\Pi(3)$	0.0004		
$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{z})$	-0.0004		
п(0)	0.0103	Diana	
	1	Flane	6
000	7	5	0
$C(\Gamma)$	0.002/*	0.0/96	0.0149
C(2)	-0.0041*		0.2200
$C(3^{\circ})$	0.0026*		0.2134
C(4')	0.0003*	0.2093	-0.0186
C(S)	-0.001/*		-0.2365
C(6')	0.0002*	0.00///	-0.219/
C(T)		0.0066*	
C(8')	0.00-	-0.0228*	
N'	0.0371		0.0000*
$O(1^{\circ})$	0.2419		0.0000*
$O(2^{2})$	-0.1559	0.00504	0.0000*
$O(3^{\circ})$	-0.0925	0.00/0*	
O(4')		0.0092*	
H(2')	-0.0773		
H(3')	-0.0262		
H(5')	0.0422		
H(6')	<i>−</i> 0·0706		
	Dihedral a	ingles	
	Planes 1 and 2	64.2°	
	Planes 1 and 3	3.3	
	Planes 4 and 5	65.9	
	Planes 4 and 6	10.8	

lengths of 1.352 Å in the  $\beta$  modification of *p*-nitrophenol (Coppens & Schmidt, 1965*a*) and 1.350 Å in anisic acid (Bryan, 1967).

Table 5 compares some pertinent features of simple aromatic molecules containing NO<sub>2</sub> groups in the *para* position. The double-bonded contribution to the  $C-NO_2$  bond tends to increase as the electron donating character of the *para* substituent becomes greater. The angle between the plane of the  $NO_2$  group and the plane of the ring does not appear to be related to the electron donating character of the *para* substituent and is perhaps more dependent on steric effects.

Mak & Trotter (1965) have discussed the shortening of the central C-C bonds in the phenyl rings caused by contributions from the quinonoid valence structure which also tends to increase the double-bonded character of the C-NO<sub>2</sub> bond. The lengths of the central C-C bonds are also given in Table 5.

Packing diagrams in two projections are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. All intermolecular contacts involving nonhydrogen atoms less than 3.4 Å in length are shown in addition to all contacts involving hydrogen atoms less than 2.8 Å in length. The first molecule is involved in a total of 32 such contacts and the second in 28. These seem to be rather large numbers of contacts in comparison with the equivalent numbers for the compounds in Table 5. The 2.36 Å contact between the carbonyl oxygen atom of the second molecule and the H(3) atom of another second molecule, related to the first by a  $2_1$  operator is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of the two atoms. Coppens & Schmidt (1965b) have reported an aromatic hydrogen atom in the structure of the  $\alpha$  modification of *p*-nitrophenol to be within 2.38 Å of an oxygen atom belonging to the nitro substituent on another molecule.

Most of the small differences between the two independent molecules are of no chemical interest and are presumably caused by the packing of the structure. The difference between the average central C-C bond lengths in the two molecules is large but is nevertheless slightly less than the sum of the combined errors in the two sets of bond lengths. Further, there is no significant difference in the environment of the two molecules which might account for a variation in the bonding. The average of all four central C-C bond lengths is 1.376 Å which is in good agreement with the other central C-C bonds in Table 5 for compounds with short C-NO<sub>2</sub> bonds.

The authors wish to thank Dr D. Usher, Department of Chemistry, Cornell University, for bringing this problem to their attention, Dr D. Chandler and Dr D. Lee, Department of Chemistry, University of Saskatchewan, Regina, for helpful discussions and the National Research Council of Canada for providing financial support.

## R. GUTTORMSON AND B. E. ROBERTSON

# Table 4. Terminal bond lengths corrected for thermal motion

Bond lengths (Å)					
First m	olecule	Second molecule			
Uncorrected	Corrected	Uncorrected	Corrected		
1.210	1.257	1.203	1.264		
1.236	1.267	1.213	1.246		
1.193	1· <b>2</b> 18	1.183	1.194		
1.500	1.525	1.493	1.526		
	First m Uncorrected 1·210 1·236 1·193 1·500	Bond & First molecule Uncorrected Corrected 1·210 1·257 1·236 1·267 1·193 1·218 1·500 1·525	Bond lengths (A)           First molecule         Second r           Uncorrected         Corrected         Uncorrected           1·210         1·257         1·203           1·236         1·267         1·213           1·193         1·218         1·183           1·500         1·525         1·493		

# Table 5. Comparison of p-nitrophenyl acetate with related compounds

	Angle between plane of NO <sub>2</sub> group and phenyl plane	Average length of central C-C bonds	C-NO <sub>2</sub> bond length
p-Nitroaniline (Trueblood, Goldish & Donohue, 1961)	1·9°	1·375 Å	1·460 Å
<i>p</i> -Nitrophenol (Coppens & Schmidt, 1965)	7.9	1.375	1.460
N, N-Dimethyl-p-nitroaniline (Mak & Trotter, 1965)	3	1.37	1.40
<i>p</i> -Nitrophenyl acetate (molecule 1)	3.3	1.354	1.454
<i>p</i> -Nitrophenyl acetate (molecule 2)	10.8	1.388	1.465
p-Nitrotoluene (Barve & Pant, 1971)	3.0	1.382	1.482
p-Nitrobenzoic acid (Tavale & Pant, 1971)	13.8	1.382	1.485
p-Nitroperoxybenzoic acid (Kim, Chu & Jeffrey, 1970)	6	1.43	1.52





Fig. 1. (a) Schematic representation of the molecule with bond lengths and labelling sequence. The number nearest the line is the bond length of the first molecule. (b) Bond angles. The number nearest the atom is the bond angle of the first molecule.

(100



Fig. 2. Packing diagram in the [001] projection.

### References

- BARVE, J. V. & PANT, L. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1158.
- BOYER, P. D., LARDY, H. & MYRBACK, K. (1960). The Enzymes, Vol. 4. New York: Academic Press.
- BRYAN, R. F. (1967). J. Chem. Soc. (B), p. 1311.
- BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 142.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- CHATTAWAY, R. D. (1931). J. Chem. Soc. p. 2495.



Fig. 3. Packing diagram in the [100] projection.

- COPPENS, P. & SCHMIDT, G. M. J. (1965a). Acta Cryst. 18, 654.
- COPPENS, P. & SCHMIDT, G. M. J. (1965b). Acta Cryst. 18, 62.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321. GERMAIN, G. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24,
- 91.
- KIM, J. S., CHU, S. & JEFFREY, G. A. (1970). Acta Cryst. B27, 896.
- MAK, T. C. W. & TROTTER, J. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 68. MOORE, F. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1169.
- TAVALE, S. S. & PANT, L. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1479.
- TRUEBLOOD, K. N., GOLDISH, E. & DONOHUE, J. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 1009.